Regional Healthcare Partnership 9 Texas Healthcare Transformation and Quality Improvement Program

# THREE-YEAR DELIVERY SYSTEM REFORM INCENTIVE PAYMENT (DSRIP) PROJECTS INFORMATION, GUIDE, AND PROJECT PROPOSAL PROCESS

Original Version - August 26, 2013 Revised Version – October 4, 2013

Revised Version - October 18, 2013

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction 1
II.	RHP 9 Project Proposal and Prioritization Process
III.	RHP 9 Project Proposal Package and Instructions4
IV.	Appendices
	<ul> <li>A. HHSC Proposal – Process for Adding Three-Year DSRIP Projects Under the Texas Healthcare Transformation and Quality Improvement Program</li></ul>
	B. DSRIP Program References 11
	C. Performing Provider Eligibility Criteria
	D. Intergovernmental Transfer (IGT) Entity Definition 14
	E. RHP Planning Protocol Options for Three-Year Projects
	F. RHP 9 Four-Year DSRIP Projects by Project Option 19
	G. Proposal Package Sample Forms
	H. Contacts

### I. INTRODUCTION

#### Texas Healthcare Transformation and Quality Improvement Program

In December 2011, the Texas Health and Human Services Commission ("HHSC") received approval for a waiver of certain federal Medicaid requirements under Section 1115 of the Social Security Act. The Texas Healthcare Transformation and Quality Improvement Program Medicaid1115 Waiver ("the Waiver") is a five-year demonstration program beginning October 1, 2012 and extending until September 30, 2016.

The Delivery System Reform Incentive Program ("DSRIP") is a major component of the Waiver. For the DSRIP program, HHSC has defined twenty regions in Texas that define the geographic boundaries of Regional Healthcare Partnerships ("RHPs"). RHP 9 consists of three counties—Dallas, Denton and Kaufman. The participants in each region are charged with developing a regional plan identifying partners, assessing community needs, proposing projects to address the community needs and developing funding distribution. Each RHP has one anchoring entity which acts as the primary point of contact for HHSC in the region and responsible to serve as an administrative extension of HHSC with respect to the regional activities. In RHP 9, Parkland Health and Hospital System (Parkland) serves as the anchoring entity.

Under the Waiver, each RHP is required to develop a community needs assessment. The RHP plan is then developed by establishing intervention projects, subject to the Program Funding and Mechanics ("PFM") Protocol for the Texas 1115 Transformation Waiver as revised effective April 4, 2013, which are to be implemented by "performing providers" and that are designed to achieve measurable clinical outcomes. Each intervention project must be aligned with a defined community need. Additionally, each project must have an established Intergovernmental Transfer Entity ("IGT") that will provide the non-federal funding component required to fund the project.

#### Three Year DSRIP Projects

Each RHP in Texas is in the process of developing a Plan, the most current formal draft plan was submitted to HHSC in April 2013. The regional plans are in a formal review and approval process which began in May 2013 and is expected to be completed by March 31, 2014. The DSRIP projects in the existing developmental plan are structured as four-year projects starting on October 1, 2013 and concluding on September 30, 2016. (See Appendix F for the current RHP 9 four-years projects.)

The PFM provides for a process by which an RHP can amend its Plan to include new projects financed by new or existing IGT entities. The new projects will be 3 years in duration (Three-Year Projects) beginning in Demonstration Year 3 (October 1, 2013). On June 28, 2013, HHSC published in the *Texas Register* proposed rule language to govern this plan amendment process. The new rule, which will be adopted by September 1, 2013, is presented as Appendix A.

It is expected that the new Three Year Projects will not duplicate or substantially infringe on existing projects, will further address areas of unmet Community Need and with expand and improve on the achievement of the regional goals.

The New Three-Year Projects will conform to the same standards and requirements associated with the Four-Year Projects, with two exceptions:

- A subset of the RHP Planning Protocol menu of project options will be available for the Three-Year Projects. Based on the informal information provided by HHSC, the expected available project menu options are presented in Appendix E.
- Each proposed project must be ready for immediate implementation. Accordingly, the projects must include milestones that represent implementation activities beginning the DY 3 (not just planning activities).

Because the actual total dollar amount of the remaining regional funding allocation pool will not be known until late in calendar 2013 (or potentially early calendar 2014), each region will be requested to submit a prioritized listing of new projects by October 31, 2013. The Three-Year Project protocol calls for the regions to use a scoring methodology as the basis identifying the degree to which each project would contribute to the regional goals. And, to assure fairness and consistency in the development of the prioritized list, a rotational process based upon IGT source is to be used to compile the list for submission.

Once the allocated funding availability is established, an allocated funding pool for RHP 9 will be established. The projects from highest priority down will be eligible to submit formal project proposals until the allocated funding pool is depleted. It is expected that the formal project submission process will begin in December 2013.

#### Community Health Needs Assessment

As required by the PFM, the RHP 9 Plan contains a Community Needs Assessment. HHSC and CMS have a sustained interest that new projects focus and expand efforts to promote the achievement of regional goals that address Community Needs. Before considering the development of new Three-Year Projects, the prospective performing provider would be advised to read (or reread) the Community Needs Assessment to assure that proposed projects are targeted to address the needs specific to RHP 9.

#### IGT Entity Funding Source

In order to be included in an RHP Plan, each project must have an eligible performing provider and a committed IGT entity to provide the non-federal component of the project funding. While RHP 9 will entertain New Three-Year Project proposals from prospective performing providers without a committed IGT affiliate, no projects without a committed IGT affiliate will be included on the RHP 9 prioritized New Three-Year Project list submitted to HHSC in October 2013.

The process that RHP 9 will use to entertain and prioritize Three-Year Project proposals is described in Section II of this document.

### II. PROJECT PROPOSAL AND PRIORITIZATION PROCESS

Based on the proposed guidance from HHSC for RHP Plan modification including the addition of new Three-Year Projects, RHP 9 has established a regional process to consider new DSRIP projects and establish the prioritized list of projects to submit to HHSC as required by October 31, 2013.

The process consists of the following steps:

- 1. Prepare and circulate this guide *Information, Guide, and Project Proposal Process* that will provide the information, guidance and submission package to enable a prospective new or existing provider to:
  - a. Evaluate the opportunity to propose a new RHP 9 DSRIP project,
  - b. Consider and determine the best project options and project concepts to submit, and
  - c. Complete and submit the New Three-Year Project package by *September 13, 2013*, the RHP 9 submission deadline
- 2. The anchoring entity will collect and compile the submitted New Three-Year Projects.
- 3. Conduct a "*DSRIP-A-THON*" in which the proposed New Three-Year Projects will be presented to the RHP 9 existing performing providers and regional stakeholders. The review participants will have the opportunity to raise questions and provide observations and the presenters may field and response to the questions. Based on the feedback received in the interactive DSRIP-A-THON session, performing providers may submit revisions to their projects by September 25, 2013The target date for the *DSRIP-A-THON* will be the week of *September 16, 2013*. (DSRIP-A-THON was conducted on September 18, 2013 from 2:30 to 5:30 p.m.)
- 4. On the basis of the presentation, dialog, and committed project revisions, one designated representative from each performing provider and stakeholder organization will complete a project rating grid. The names of the scoring representatives will be obtained by the Anchoring Entity. Scoring grids will be completed and submitted to the Anchoring Entity by October 16, 2013.
- 5. The Anchoring Entity will compile the *scoring* grids for each of the New Three-Year Projects and establish a composite score for each project which will be compared to the self-assessed project rating score from the proposing performing provider. The scoring process will be completed by October 16, 2013.
- 6. The project scoring will be reported to the RHP performing providers and stakeholders on *or before October 16, 2013*.
- 7. Prepare the prioritized project list using a rotational basis according to IGT entity. The RHP 9 IGT Entities associated with New Three Year Projects will use an alphabetic basis, by entity name, to perform the rotation. Each IGT entity, in rotational order, will submit its affiliated performing provider's top rated New Three-Year Project to the list. The rotation will continue until all projects have been submitted to the list. This will occur on or before October 18, 2013.
- 8. Project withdrawals will be permitted at any stage of the process. An explanation and reason for the withdrawal must accompany the withdrawal request.

- 9. The prioritized New Three-Year Project list will be posted and presented at a Public Forum. Comments from the public will be compiled and considered. The Public Forum will be conducted on October 24, 2013 at 6:00 p.m. at the Dallas County Commissioners Court.
- 10. The finalized prioritized New Three-Year Project list will be submitted in accordance with the requirements and submission date on or before October 31, 2013.

### III. PROJECT PROPOSAL PACKAGE AND INSTRUCTIONS

The New Three-Year Project Proposal package consists of a completed New Three-Year Project Proposal Form, a Self-Evaluation Project Rating Grid, a Signed/Dated Commitment Statement from the IGT Entity (if different from the performing provider) and any Supplemental Material that the prospective performing provider elects to include. Sample Proposal Package forms are presented in Appendix G.

### New Three Project Proposal

The project proposal form requires completion of key elements that are required for all DSRIP projects. The form is intended to capture a high-level understanding of the proposed project with a specific focus on the intended transformational impact of the project, how it will address community needs and how it will impact the Medicaid and low income population. The proposal must identify the Category 3 Outcome Measures that will be associated with the project. And the project form requires that the proposed project values be provided for Category 1 / 2, Category 3 and Category 4, if applicable. Appendix B provides references and citations that may be helpful to support project proposal development.

### Three-Year Project Self Evaluation

This grid is to be completed by the prospective performing provider and will be compared to the representational scoring performed by the RHP 9 performing providers and stakeholders. This grid is intended to facilitate an internal assessment of the merits of the project before it is submitted. The grid contains scoring guidance and a general scoring frame.

#### Signed/Dated Commitment Statement

To assure the IGT affiliation status of the proposed project, a signed and dated statement is required. The statement is only required if the IGT entity is different than the performing provider. The statement must specify the project and the proposed project value for which the IGT entity will commit to provide the non-federal funding component.

#### Submission

The Project Proposal Packages must be submitted by email to Jody Springer at jody.springer@phhs.org by 5:00 p.m. on September 13, 2013. Late proposals may be considered on a case-by-case basis. However, no new project proposals will be accepted after the DSRIP-A-THON session.

Following the DSRIP-A-THON, project revisions may be submitted to the Anchoring Entity by October 4, 2013. All efforts will be made to conduct as inclusive a process as is possible.

## IV. APPENDICES

	HHSC Proposal – Process for Adding Three-Year DSRIP Projects Under the Texas Healthcare Transformation and Quality Improvement Program	7
В.	DSRIP Program References	11
C.	Performing Provider Eligibility Criteria	13
D.	Intergovernmental Transfer (IGT) Entity Definition	14
E.	RHP Planning Protocol Options for Three-Year Projects	17
F.	RHP 9 Four-Year DSRIP Projects by Project Option	19
G.	Proposal Package Sample Forms	29
Н.	Contacts	33

#### APPENDIX A

## HHSC Proposal – Process for Adding Three-Year DSRIP Projects Under the Texas Healthcare Transformation and Quality Improvement Program http://www.hhsc.state.tx.us/1115-docs/Adding3YrProjects.pdf

## HHSC Proposal July 1, 2013 Process for Adding Three-Year Delivery System Reform Incentive Payment (DSRIP) Projects Under the Texas Healthcare Transformation and Quality Improvement Program Background Section VII, Paragraph 31 of the Program Funding and Mechanics (PFM) Protocol for the Texas 1115 Transformation Waiver, as revised effective April 4, 2013, states the following: Adding New Project for Demonstration Year 3 A Regional Healthcare Partnership (RHP) may amend its plan to include new projects financed by either new or existing Intergovernmental Transfer (IGT) Entities that are implemented by either existing and/or new Performing Providers. These projects shall be 3 years in duration, beginning in Demonstration Year (DY) 3. Projects added for DY 3 may be selected from Categories 1, 2 or 3 of Attachment I, "RHP Planning Protocol" and are subject to all requirements described herein and in the Special Terms and Conditions (STCs). Newly added hospital Performing Providers shall be required to report Category 4 measures according to Section III, "Key Elements of Proposed RHP Plans". Plan modifications related to adding new projects must be submitted to HHSC by a date within DY 2 specified by HHSC. HHSC will further define the process for adding additional projects and submit this process to CMS for review by no later than July 1, 2013. The RHP shall ensure that incentive payments for the new projects comply with Section VI "Disbursement of DSRIP Funds." Texas Proposed Administrative Rules On June 28, 2013, the following proposed rule language was published in the Texas Register for public comment regarding the process for RHPs to modify their plans to add three-year projects. The rule will be adopted by September 1, 2013 and provides a high level overview of the process HHSC proposes to follow to accept and review new three-year DSRIP projects. §354.1635. RHP Plan Modification. (a) The plan modification process begins once all RHP plans receive initial CMS approval as described in §354.1622(e). This process allows for RHPs and the State to utilize unclaimed RHP allocations. (b) If an RHP does not utilize its entire allocation for the second demonstration year, the remaining allocation can be utilized by HHSC for state initiatives. These initiatives must be accomplished through the DSRIP program. (c) If an RHP does not utilize its entire allocation for the third, fourth, and fifth demonstration year, that RHP may propose three-year DSRIP projects. 1

July 1, 2013

HHSC Proposal

(1) Each RHP must submit a list of all DSRIP projects from which the three-year DSRIP projects are selected.

(A) Each three-year DSRIP project on the list must be chosen from a subset of the RHP Planning Protocol as determined by HHSC.

(B) Each three-year DSRIP project on the list must be ready for immediate implementation upon approval.

(C) An RHP must prioritize the three-year DSRIP projects based on regional needs except that the listed projects must alternate by affiliated IGT entity.

(D) Each three-year DSRIP project must identify and have written confirmation of the IGT source.

(E) Each three-year DSRIP project must demonstrate significant benefit to the Medicaid and indigent populations

(2) Based on the amount of RHP allocation remaining for each RHP after CMS provides final valuation approvals, some three-year DSRIP projects on the priority list will be reviewed for addition to the RHP plan.

(d) If an RHP is unable to utilize the remaining allocation in accordance with subsection (c), the remaining allocation may be utilized by HHSC.

(e) If DSRIP funds are still available following HHSC action in subsection (d), the remaining funds are redistributed to the RHPs that utilized their full RHP allocation. The funds are proportionately allocated to RHPs based on their share of the original allocation as described in §354.1634(b). The process for determining allocations to providers within an RHP will be the same as described in §354.1634(g). To receive redistributed funds, an RHP must continue to meet the broad hospital and minimal safety net hospital participation levels as described in subsection §354.1634(d)(2)(C) and (D).

#### Project Prioritization within Each RHP

Since it is not yet known how much of its original DSRIP allocation each RHP will have remaining for three-year projects, HHSC proposes that by mid-September 2013 by a date specified by HHSC, each RHP submit a prioritized list of possible new three-year DSRIP projects. As noted in the proposed rule, in order to prevent one or more entities from dominating the prioritization process, each RHP must prioritize the three-year DSRIP projects based on regional needs except that the listed projects must alternate by affiliated IGT entity.

#### HHSC Proposal

July 1, 2013

HHSC and CMS encourage broad participation in DSRIP by all allowable DSRIP providers, including hospitals (public and private), physician groups (public and private), community mental health centers, and local health departments. Any of these types of providers may propose a new three-year DSRIP project within an RHP and each proposed project is to be reviewed to determine how well it addresses one or more of the community needs of the RHP and complements the projects already underway in the RHP.

Each RHP must hold a public meeting prior to submitting to HHSC its prioritized list of threeyear projects and must post the proposed list prior to the meeting. When the prioritized list is submitted, the RHP also is to submit a description of the processes used to engage and reach out to potential DSRIP performing providers in the region along with public stakeholders and consumers. The submission also must describe the regional approach for evaluating and prioritizing projects. The submission must include as an appendix a list of the projects that were considered but not selected, regardless of whether they had an identified IGT source.

#### Formal Project Submission and Review

By a date in October 2013 specified by HHSC, each RHP must submit the full projects proposed as new three-year projects. HHSC will review these projects and work to provide initial State approval no later than December 31, 2013. In early 2014, CMS also will review the Stateapproved projects and confirm its approval by March 1, 2014, prior to the first DY 3 reporting opportunity in April 2014.

#### Requirements for Three-Year Projects that Begin in Demonstration Year 3

Each proposed three-year project must meet the following requirements:

- Represent an intervention that is in response to community needs identified in the RHP's needs assessment specific to Medicaid and indigent populations.
- Be on the RHP Planning Protocol DSRIP menu and not an "Other" project option and also not include "Other "Category 3 outcome(s).
- Include quantifiable patient impact milestones in DY 4 and DY 5 that include the Medicaid/ indigent quantifiable impact.
- Submitted along with a completed DSRIP Electronic Workbook.
- The following project options will not be allowed for three-year projects: 2.4 "Redesign for Patient Experience"; 2.5 "Redesign for Cost Containment"; and 2.8 "Apply Process Improvement Methodology to Improve Quality/Efficiency." Project area 1.10 "Enhance Performance Improvement and Reporting Capacity" is only allowable for projects that focus on DSRIP learning collaboratives.
- Projects under 1.9 "Specialty Care Capacity" must include a minimum focus of 40% Medicaid and indigent, unless a compelling justification can be made for a lower threshold.

#### HHSC Proposal

#### July 1, 2013

 Include milestones that represent implementation activities beginning in DY 3 (not just planning activities).

Additionally, certain milestones may be edited, added to, or removed from the RHP Planning Protocol. HHSC will propose these updates to CMS in order for the revised RHP Planning Protocol to be finalized no later than September 1, 2013.

4

### **APPENDIX B**

### **DSRIP** Program References

### **HHSC References**

Medicaid 1115 Waiver Website <u>http://www.hhsc.state.tx.us/1115-waiver.shtml</u>

### Program Funding and Mechanics Protocol:

Changes to the Program Funding and Mechanics protocol are effective April 4, 2013, specific to Centers for Medicare and Medicaid review of Regional Healthcare Partnership Plans. HHSC and CMS have agreed to a phased approval process for DSRIP projects to enable timely initial approval of most projects.

- <u>Stakeholder message</u> (posted 4/8/2013)
- <u>Revised PFM Protocol</u> (posted 4/8/2013)

A summary of the DSRIP Project Requirements (posted 8/31/2012) also is available.

### Regional Healthcare Partnership (RHP) Planning Protocol

CMS has granted <u>final approval</u> of this <u>Regional Healthcare Partnership (RHP) Planning Protocol</u> <u>document (PDF)</u>. This document was updated Oct. 1, 2012, to include minor <u>technical corrections</u> (<u>PDF)</u>. The protocol provides the menu of project options approved by HHSC and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) that contribute to delivery transformation and quality improvement. The only projects eligible for payments from the DSRIP pool are those contained in this menu that are implemented as outlined in an RHP Plan approved by HHSC and CMS, with corresponding measures, milestones and performance improvement targets. The links below open individual sections of the approved protocol and include the Oct. 1, 2012 technical corrections.

- <u>Introduction RHP Planning Protocol (PDF)</u> (posted 10/01/2012)
- <u>Category 1 RHP Planning Protocol (PDF)</u> (posted 10/01/2012)
- <u>Category 2 RHP Planning Protocol (PDF)</u> (posted 10/01/2012)
- <u>Category 3 RHP Planning Protocol (PDF)</u> (posted 10/01/2012)
- <u>Category 4 RHP Planning Protocol (PDF)</u> (posted 10/01/2012)
- <u>Appendix RHP Planning Protocol (PDF)</u> (posted 10/01/2012)
- Draft Revised Category 3 Quality Improvements: HHSC is sharing the draft revised Category 3 Menu submitted to CMS for approval, and a <u>summary document</u> that gives an overview of the proposed revisions to Category 3. This submission by HHSC to CMS is under review at this time; NO action is required by providers.
- CMS has provided a list of <u>Category 3 Outcomes that will be acceptable for Workforce Projects</u> (posted 11/2/2012).
- <u>Category 4 Guidance</u> (posted 11/12/2012)

### Quantifiable Patient Impact

During their DSRIP review and approval processes, HHSC and CMS determined that it would be important to have a consistent method for capturing the quantifiable patient impact (QPI) of each Category 1 and Category 2 project. The process and guidance below was developed to capture the QPI associated with each DSRIP project. This method is expected to be applied to the Three-Year Projects as well.

- Phase II FAQs for Completing the Quantifiable Patient Impact Spreadsheets: <u>These QPI</u> <u>FAQs</u> (posted 7/15/13) should help answer frequently asked questions about filling out the QPI spreadsheets. Please also remember to read the instructions included in the QPI workbook carefully.
- Phase II List of Recommended QPI Metrics by Project Option: HHSC is providing a list of recommended <u>Quantifiable Patient Impact (QPI) metrics</u> (posted 7/8/2013) to help guide completion of the QPI spreadsheet in Phase II and future RHP Plan submissions.

### DSRIP Summary / Highlights Reference

HHSC provided this "one page" overview of the DSRIP program and project requirements <u>http://www.hhsc.state.tx.us/1115-docs/Summary-of-DSRIP-PFM-Requirements.pdf</u>

### **RHP 9 References**

 RHP 9 Website and Plan as submitted in April 2013, under review and revision by HHSC. <u>http://www.parklandhospital.com/whoweare/section-1115/index.html</u>

### **APPENDIX C**

### Performing Provider Eligibility Criteria

The PFM specifies performing provider eligibility as presented below:

### 7. Performing Providers

Providers that are responsible for performing a project in an RHP Plan are called "Performing Providers." All Performing Providers must have a current Medicaid provider identification number. Performing Providers that complete RHP project milestones and measures as specified in Attachment I, "RHP Planning Protocol" are the only entities that are eligible to receive DSRIP incentive payments in DYs 2-5. Performing Providers will primarily be hospitals, but CMHCs, local health departments, physician practice plans affiliated with an academic health science center, and other types of providers approved by the State and CMS may also receive DSRIP payments. Physician practices plans not affiliated with an academic health science center may also be eligible as Performing Providers under the "Pass 2" methodology as described in paragraph 28.d.

A Performing Provider may only participate in the RHP Plan where it is physically located except that physician practice plans affiliated with an academic health science center, major cancer hospitals, or children's hospitals may perform projects outside of the region where the Performing Provider's institution is physically located if it receives an allocation from that region in accordance with the process described in paragraph 28. In these cases, the project must be included in the RHP Plan where the DSRIP project is implemented. All related DSRIP payments for the project(s) are counted against the allocation of that RHP Plan as specified in Section VI "Disbursement of DSRIP Funds".

Prospective performing providers that will be new to the DSRIP program should review the above information to determine their eligibility to participate. Any questions as to eligibility will require confirmation by HHSC. Inquiries may be made to HHSC via email to the HHSC Waiver mailbox (TXHealthcareTransformation@HHSC.state.tx.us) with a subject identifying a question as to eligibility to participate as a performing provider.

### APPENDIX D

Intergovernmental Transfer Entity Criteria

The PFM specifies Intergovernmental Transfer (IGT) entities as presented below:

### 6. IGT Entities

Intergovernmental transfer (IGT) Entities are entities that fund the non-federal share of DSRIP payments for an RHP. They include Anchoring Entities, government-owned Performing Providers, community mental health centers (CMHCs), local health departments, academic health science centers, and other government entities such as counties.

An IGT Entity may fund DSRIP, Uncompensated Care (UC), or both DSRIP and UC as long as regional requirements are met, as described in Section VI "Disbursement of DSRIP Funds" and the IGT funding source comports with federal requirements outlined in paragraph 55 of the waiver's special terms and conditions.

IGT Entities may fund DSRIP projects outside of their RHP Region. Such a DSRIP project must be documented in the RHP Plan where the Performing Provider implementing the DSRIP project is physically located, with a few exceptions described in 7 below.

Additional guidance with respect to IGT entities and public funds was provided by HHSC. This guidance is presented on the pages that follow.

Prospective IGT entities that will be new to the DSRIP program should review both the above and the following information to determine their eligibility to participate. Any questions as to eligibility will require confirmation by HHSC. Inquiries may be made to HHSC via email to the HHSC Waiver mailbox (TXHealthcareTransformation@HHSC.state.tx.us) with a subject identifying a question as to eligibility to participate as a performing provider.

Intergovernmental Transfer (IGT) Guidelines General Principles & Selected Examples

#### General Guidance

The following general principles represent an attempt to provide high level guidance to entities seeking to generate state match, (i.e., IGT) for funding the 1115 Medicaid waiver. The principles which follow are not intended to be exhaustive. Individual entities involved in arranging financing for Waiver activities are in the best position to know all of the relevant facts to determine if such an arrangement is legal and workable. As such, it is vital that all potential Waiver participants discuss IGT arrangements with their attorneys.

I. The 1115 Medicaid waiver provides for supplemental funding to certain Medicaid providers in Texas in the form of two new pools: the Uncompensated Care (UC) Pool and the Delivery System Reform Incentive Payment (DSRIP) Pool. In order to receive that supplemental funding, some governmental entity must provide funding to HHSC which will then have those funds "matched" by the federal government and sent to the Medicaid provider designated by the funding governmental entity. The process by which funds are transferred to HHSC for the purpose of a federal match is called an "intergovernmental transfer" or "IGT."

#### II. Who can transfer funds? Funds can be transferred by:

- any unit of local government (including, but not limited to, a public hospital, hospital district, county, city, or Local Mental Health Authority); or
- b. any state agency.

III. What funds can be transferred? There are state and federal restrictions on the types of funds that can be transferred for Waiver purposes. A governmental entity can transfer funds to HHSC if:

- a. the funds are in the governmental entity's administrative control;
- b. the funds are not federal funds;
- c. the funds are public funds, not private funds;
- d. there is no statutory or constitutional provision that requires the funds to be used solely for another purpose or prohibits the transfer;
- e. the transfer satisfies a statutory or constitutional requirement that relates to the funds, including Article III, section 52 of the Texas Constitution or the state General Appropriations Act; and
- f. the funds are not impermissible provider-related donations.

#### IV. What is a provider-related donation? A provider-related donation is:

 a voluntary donation from a non-governmentally operated health care provider or entity related to a private health care provider;

- b. in cash or in kind;
- c. made to a governmental entity, whether or not that entity provides for an IGT; and
- d. is directly or indirectly related to a Medicaid payment or other payment to providers.

V. When does a transfer violate provider-related donations regulations? Federal regulations prohibit private health care providers from making donations directly to HHSC or indirectly through another government agency to HHSC. However, federal law recognizes that private providers can undertake to support community activities. Local governmental entities may take that support into account when determining to make an IGT that will be used to fund Medicaid payments to those providers. It is vital that, in such a situation, the existence or amount of an IGT is not contingent upon the existence of such community support or the amount of the community support.

Sources:

Federal Law & Regulations:

42 USC § 1396a

42 CFR §433.50, 433.52, 433.54, 433.57, 433.66, 433.67

State Law & Administrative Rules:

1 TAC §355.8201

1 TAC §355.8202

## APPENDIX E

### RHP Planning Protocol Options for Three-Year Projects

HHSC and CMS have indicated that a subset of the RHP Planning Protocol Menu items will be available for use for the Three-Year Projects. HHSC is expected to provide formal information regarding the abbreviated menu to be published by September 1, 2013. Based on the informal information provided, the following Category 1 and 2 menu options will be available. HHSC has indicated that "Other" project options within the available sections will not be permitted – all projects will be required to be "on menu".

The HHSC Waiver website will have the most current menus and information with respect to project options suitable for Three-Year Projects.

	Category 1		Category 2
1.1	Expand Primary Care Capacity	2.1	Enhance/Expand Medical Homes
1.2	Increase Training of Primary Care Workforce	2.2	Expand Chronic Care Management Models
1.3	Implement a Chronic Disease Management	2.3	Redesign Primary Care
	Registry	2.6	Implement Evidence-Based Health Promotion
1.4	Enhance Interpretation Services and Culturally		Program
	Competent Care	2.7	Implement Evidence-Based Disease Prevention
1.5	Collect Valid and Reliable Race, Ethnicity, and		Programs
	Language (REAL) Data to Reduce Disparities	2.9	Establish/Expand a Patient Care Navigation
1.6	Enhance Urgent Medical Advise		Program
1.7	Introduce, Expand or Enhance Telemedicine/	2.11	Conduct Medication Management
	Telehealth	2.12	Implement/Expand Care Transitions Programs
1.8	Increase, Expand and Enhance Dental Services	2.13	Provide an Intervention for a Targeted Behavioral
1.9	Expand Specialty Care (must include a minimum		Health Population to Prevent Unnecessary Use of
	focus of 40% Medicaid and Indigent)		Services in a Specified Setting
1.11	Implement Technology-Assisted Services to	2.14	Implement Person-Centered Wellness Self-
	Support, Coordinate, or Deliver Behavioral		Management Strategies and Self Directed
	Health Services		Financing Models
1.12		2.15	Integrate Primary and Behavioral Health Care
	Levels of Behavioral Health Care		Services
1.13	1	2.16	Provide Virtual Psychiatric and Clinical Guidance
	Stabilization Services as Alternatives to		to Primary Care Providers
	Hospitalization	2.17	Establish Improvement in Care Transition from
1.14	1		the Inpatient Setting for Behavioral Health
	Support Access to Behavioral Health Providers in		Patients
	Underserved Markets and Areas		

Category 1	Category 2
	<ul> <li>2.18 Recruit, Train and Support Consumers of Mental Health Services to Provide Peer Support Services</li> <li>2.19 Develop Care Management Function that Integrates Primary and Behavioral Health Needs of Individuals</li> </ul>

### APPENDIX F

RHP 9 Four-Year DSRIP Projects by Project Option

The following pages presents the projects have been compiled in the RHP 9 Plan, as revised and amended as of August 23, 2013 in response to feedback provided by HHSC and CMS.

When considering the development of new DSRIP projects, care should be taken to not duplicate existing projects in the Plan. While many of the projects are in a state of transition as they move through the review and approval processes, the detailed projects are available for review in the RHP 9 Plan draft dated March 2013. The draft plan can be obtained either from the Parkland Medicaid 1115 Waiver website or through the HHSC Medicaid 1115 Waiver website. Further, contact information for each of the providers is available in the Plan should there be an interest in learning more about existing projects.

			(	Category 1 Only	
Project	Section/Option Title	Project ID	Original	Original DY	Revised DY
Option			4-Year Total	2&3 Total	2&3 Total
1.1	Expand Primary Care				
	1.1.1 - Establish more primary care clinics				
	Baylor Medical Center at Carrollton	195018001.1.1	1,173,530	600,110	600,110
	Children's Medical Center	138910807.1.1	13,058,942	6,828,278	6,828,278
	HCA Medical City Dallas Hospital	020943901.1.3	3,521,529	1,800,809	1,800,809
	Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.1.6	29,375,855	15,360,089	15,360,089
	UT Southwestern Medical Center	126686802.1.1	8,821,395	4,198,937	1,758,273
			55,951,251	28,788,223	26,347,559
	1.1.2 - Expand existing primary care capacity				
	Baylor Medical Center at Garland	121790303.1.1	1,753,656	896,769	896,769
	Baylor Medical Center at Irving	121776204.1.1	1,497,432	765,744	765,744
	Baylor University Medical Center	139485012.1.1	8,414,068	4,302,713	4,302,713
	Children's Medical Center	138910807.1.2	12,054,408	6,303,026	6,303,026
	Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.1.1	29,017,613	15,172,771	15,172,771
	Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.1.2	20,598,923	10,770,794	10,770,794
	Texas Health Presbyterian Hospital Dallas	020908201.1.1	5,494,653	2,835,557	2,835,557
	UT Southwestern Medical Center	126686802.1.2	14,996,373	7,138,194	6,076,938
			93,827,126	48,185,568	47,124,312

#### **1.2** Increase Training of Primary Care Workforce

1.2.1 Update primary care training programs to include training on the medical home and chronic care models, disease registry use for population health management, patient panel management, oral health, and other identified training needs and/or quality/p

UT Southwestern Medical Center UT Southwestern Medical Center	126686802.1.7 126686802.1.8	7,467,369 4,910,295	3,765,644 2,446,444	3,765,644 2,446,444
		12,377,664	6,212,088	6,212,088
1.2.2 Increase the number of primary care providers a clinicians/staff				
UT Southwestern Medical Center	126686802.1.10	7,360,599	3,667,253	1,800,000
UT Southwestern Medical Center	126686802.1.9	9,239,332	4,703,644	4,703,644
		16,599,931	8,370,897	6,503,644

#### 1.3 Implement at Chronic Disease Management Registry

1.3.1 - Implement/enhance and use chronic disease management registry functionalities							
Children's Medical Center	138910807.1.3	12,054,408	6,303,026	6,303,026			
Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.1.3	27,405,524	14,329,840	14,329,840			
		39,459,932	20,632,866	20,632,866			

			(	Category 1 Only		
Project Option	Section/Option Title	Project ID	Original 4-Year Total	Original DY 2&3 Total	Revised DY 2&3 Total	
1.4	Enhance Interpretation Services and Culturally Compe 1.4.1 - Expand access to written and oral interpretation serv					
	Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.1.7	26,689,039	13,955,203	13,955,203	
			26,689,039	13,955,203	13,955,203	
1.7	Introduce, Expand or Enhance Telemedicine/Teleheal	th				
	1.7.1 - Implement telemedicine program to provide or expanse referral services in an area identified as needed to the region					
	HCA Medical City Dallas Hospital	020943901.1.1	5,174,491	2,646,086	2,646,086	
	HCA Medical City Dallas Hospital	020943901.1.2	3,606,157	1,844,085	1,844,085	
	Lakes Regional MHMR	121988304.1.2	1,791,134	993,683	993,683	
	Lakes Regional MHMR	121988304.2.1	3,490,488	1,693,343	1,693,343	
	UT Southwestern Medical Center	126686802.1.4	15,878,512	7,558,087	7,558,087	
			29,940,782	14,735,284	14,735,284	
1.8	Increase, Expand and Enhance Dental Services 1.8.1 -Establish a multi-week externship program for fourth students to provide exposure and experience in providing d within a rural setting during their professional academic pre	ental services				
	Texas A&M Health Science Center / Baylor College of Dentistry	009784201.1.1	2,657,811	1,404,843	1,404,843	
			2,657,811	1,404,843	1,404,843	
	1.8.6 - The expansion of existing dental clinics, the establishment of additional dental clinics, or the expansion of dental clinic hours.					
	Texas A&M Health Science Center / Baylor College of Dentistry	009784201.1.2	10,502,323	4,063,735	4,063,735	
			10,502,323	4,063,735	4,063,735	
	1.8.9 - The implementation or expansion of school-based sealant and/or fluoride varnish programs that provide sealant placement and/or fluoride varnish applications to otherwise unserved school-aged children					
	Texas A&M Health Science Center / Baylor College of Dentistry	009784201.1.3	2,722,572	1,190,943	1,190,943	
			2,722,572	1,190,943	1,190,943	

			(	Category 1 Only	
Project Option	Section/Option Title	Project ID	Original 4-Year Total	Original DY 2&3 Total	Revised DY 2&3 Total
1.9	Expand Specialty Care				
	1.9.2 - Improve access to specialty care				
	Baylor Medical Center at Carrollton	195018001.1.2	244,485	125,022	125,022
	Baylor Medical Center at Garland	121790303.1.2	1,553,239	794,282	794,282
	Baylor Medical Center at Irving	121776204.1.2	1,228,661	628,302	628,302
	Baylor University Medical Center	139485012.1.2	7,281,405	3,723,501	3,723,501
	Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.1.5	23,285,739	12,175,681	12,175,681
			33,593,529	17,446,788	17,446,788
1.10	Enhance Performance Improvement and Reportir 1.10.2 - Enhance improvement capacity through technology		14 000 005		2 2 2 2 4 4 6
	UT Southwestern Medical Center	126686802.1.6	11,908,885	5,668,566	3,322,116
	UT Southwestern Medical Center	126686802.1.12	10,881,242	5,521,689	3,541,654
			22,790,127	11,190,255	6,863,770
	1.10.3 - Enhance improvement with systems				
	Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.1.4	30,808,824	16,109,362	8,054,681
			30,808,824	16,109,362	8,054,681
1.12	Enhance service availability to appropriate levels 1.12.2 - Expand the number of community based settin health services may be delivered in underserved areas		are		
	Children's Medical Center	138910807.1.4	12,054,408	6,303,026	6,303,026
	Dallas County MHMR / Metrocare Services	137252607.1.2	6,812,396	3,705,743	3,705,743
			18,866,804	10,008,769	10,008,769
1.13	Development of behavioral health crisis stabilizat	ion services as alterna	atives to hospita	lization	
	1.13.1 - Develop and implement crisis stabilization servidentified gaps in the current community crisis system	vices to address the			
	Dallas County Health and Human Services	121758005 1 1	17 642 792	8 307 875	8 307 875

Dallas County Health and Human Services	121758005.1.1	17,642,792	8,397,875	8,397,875
Lakes Regional MHMR	121988304.1.1	6,421,691	2,988,027	2,988,027
		24,064,483	11,385,902	11,385,902

				Category 1 Only	,
Project	Section/Option Title	Project ID	Original	Original DY	Revised DY
Option			4-Year Total	2&3 Total	2&3 Total

#### 1.14 Develop Workforce Enhancement Initiatives to Support Access to Behavioral health Providers in Underserved Markets and Areas

1.14.1 - Provide training to enhance the development of specialty behavioral healthcare and expand the number of behavioral health professionals

Dallas County MHMR / Metrocare Services	137252607.1.1	1,595,363	523,001	523,001
		1,595,363	523,001	523,001

Total Category 1	41 Projects	\$422,447,561	\$214,203,727	\$196,453,388
------------------	-------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

			(	Category 2 Only	
Project	Section/Option Title	Project ID	Original	Original DY	Revised DY
Option	Enhance (Evnand Medical Homos		4-Year Total	2&3 Total	2&3 Total
2.1	Enhance/Expand Medical Homes 2.1.1 - Develop, implement, and evaluate action plans t	o enhance/eliminate			
	gaps in the development of various aspects of PCMH sta				
	Children's Medical Center	138910807.2.1	15,068,009	7,878,782	7,676,699
	Doctor's Hospital at White Rock Lake	094194002.2.1	2,555,600	1,163,200	1,163,200
	HCA Medical City Dallas Hospital	020943901.2.4	3,007,899	1,521,064	1,521,064
	Methodist Dallas Medical Center	135032405.2.3	2,695,406	1,369,355	1,369,355
	Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.2.1	23,643,981	12,362,999	12,362,999
	Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.2.11	15,225,291	7,961,022	7,961,022
	UT Southwestern Medical Center	126686802.2.1	12,791,024	6,088,459	6,088,459
	Texas Health Presbyterian Hospital Dallas	020908201.2.3	10,556,611	5,447,819	5,447,819
			85,543,821	43,792,700	43,590,617
2.2	Expand Chronic Care Management Models				
	2.2.1 - Redesign the outpatient delivery system to coord patients with chronic diseases	dinate care for			
	Denton County HHS	136360803.2.1	4,410,698	2,099,469	2,099,469
	Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.2.4	31,167,066	16,296,680	16,296,680
	Texas Health Presbyterian Hospital Denton	020967801.2.2	521,347	269,045	269,045
	Texas Health Presbyterian Hospital Kaufman	094140302.2.2	150,570	77,703	77,703
			36,249,681	18,742,897	18,742,897
	2.2.2 - Apply evidence-based care management model t as having high-risk health care needs	to patients identified			
	Baylor Medical Center at Carrollton	195018001.2.1	447,059	228,613	228,613
	Baylor Medical Center at Garland	121790303.2.1	1,628,396	832,715	832,715
	Baylor Medical Center at Irving	121776204.2.1	1,267,057	647,937	647,937
	Baylor University Medical Center	139485012.2.1	7,658,960	3,916,572	3,916,572
	Methodist Charlton Medical Center	126679303.2.1	4,645,535	2,375,593	2,375,593
	Methodist Dallas Medical Center	135032405.2.2	7,321,539	3,744,024	3,744,024
	Methodist Richardson Medical Center	209345201.2.2	2,055,166	1,050,953	1,050,953
	UT Southwestern Medical Center	126686802.2.2	7,057,117	3,359,150	3,359,150
			32,080,829	16,155,557	16,155,557
2.4	Redesign to Improve Patient Experience				
	2.4.1 - Implement processes to measure and improve p	atient experience			
	HCA Denton Regional Medical Center	111905902.2.2	1,934,127	973,034	964,291
	HCA Las Colinas Medical Center	020979301.2.1	657,118	330,713	330,713
	HCA Medical Center of Lewisville	094192402.2.1	849,981	427,614	427,614
			3,441,226	1,731,361	1,722,618

Original Version - August 26, 2013 Revised Version – October 4, 2013 Revised Version – October 18, 2013

				Category 2 Only	
Project Option	Section/Option Title	Project ID	Original 4-Year Total	Original DY 2&3 Total	Revised DY 2&3 Total
2.5	Redesign for Cost Containment				
	2.5.1 - Establish a methodology for measuring cost conta to two interventions	inment and apply			
	Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.2.5	29,375,855	15,360,089	15,360,089
			29,375,855	15,360,089	15,360,089
2.6	Implement Evidence-Based Health Promotion Prog	rams			
	2.6.1 - Engage in population-based campaigns or progran healthy lifestyles using evidence-based methodologies in media and text messaging in an identified population.				
	Children's Medical Center	138910807.2.2	13,058,941	6,828,278	6,828,278
			13,058,941	6,828,278	6,828,278
	2.6.2 - Establish self-management programs and wellness based designs.	s using evidence-			
	Texas Health Presbyterian Hospital Dallas	020908201.2.2	2,389,097	1,232,912	1,232,912
			2,389,097	1,232,912	1,232,912
2.7	Implement Evidence-Based Disease Prevention Pro	grams			
	2.7.1 - Implement innovative evidence-based strategies t appropriate use of technology and testing for targeted pe				
	Dallas County Health and Human Services	121758005.2.1	623,230	308,777	308,777
	Dallas County Health and Human Services	121758005.2.2	1,548,089	847,269	847,269
			2,171,319	1,156,046	1,156,046
	2.7.6 - Implement other evidence-based project to imple prevention programs in an innovative manner not descri				
	Denton County HHS	136360803.2.2	4,410,698	2,099,469	2,099,469
			4,410,698	2,099,469	2,099,469

			(	Category 2 Only	
Project	Section/Option Title	Project ID	Original	Original DY	Revised DY
Option			4-Year Total	2&3 Total	2&3 Total
2.8	Apply Process Improvement Methodology to Imp	rove Quality/Efficienc	ÿ		
	2.8.11 - Project Option: Sepsis				
	HCA Denton Regional Medical Center	111905902.2.1	1,370,117	689,288	689,288
	HCA Medical Center of Lewisville	094192402.2.2	1,923,053	967,463	967,463
	HCA Medical City Dallas Hospital	020943901.2.3	3,753,964	1,919,669	1,919,669
			7,047,134	3,576,420	3,576,420
	2.8.4 - Project Option: Reduction in 30-Day Hospital Re (Potentially Preventable Readmissions)	admission Rates			
	Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.2.12	25,972,554	13,580,566	13,580,566
			25,972,554	13,580,566	13,580,566
	2.8.5 - Project Option: Reduction in Potentially Prevent (PPC)	able Complications			
	Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.2.6	31,167,066	16,296,680	16,296,680
			31,167,066	16,296,680	16,296,680
	2.8.6 - Project Option: Reduce Inappropriate ED Use				
	Denton County MHMR	135234606.2.1	11,090,221	5,214,691	3,015,805
			11,090,221	5,214,691	3,015,805

#### 2.9 Establish/Expand a Patient Care Navigation Program

2.9.1 - Provide navigation services to targeted patients who are at high risk of disconnect from institutionalized health care

disconnect nonn mistitutionalized nearth care				
Baylor Medical Center at Garland	121790303.2.3	1,540,714	787,877	787,877
Baylor Medical Center at Irving	121776204.2.3	1,087,877	556,309	556,309
Baylor University Medical Center	139485012.2.3	7,281,405	3,723,501	3,723,501
Children's Medical Center	138910807.2.3	13,058,942	6,828,278	6,828,278
HCA Medical Center of Lewisville	094192402.2.3	751,402	378,021	378,021
Methodist Charlton Medical Center	126679303.2.2	8,627,425	4,411,817	4,411,817
Methodist Dallas Medical Center	135032405.2.1	14,383,398	7,355,256	6,189,829
Methodist Richardson Medical Center	209345201.2.1	3,082,751	1,576,430	1,576,430
Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.2.7	22,211,012	11,613,726	11,613,726
Texas Health Presbyterian Hospital Denton	020967801.2.1	2,796,366	1,443,086	1,443,086
Texas Health Presbyterian Hospital Kaufman	094140302.2.1	2,242,406	1,157,211	1,157,211
UT Southwestern Medical Center	126686802.2.4	14,114,233	6,718,300	6,718,300
UT Southwestern Medical Center	175287501.2.1	4,807,050	2,513,622	2,513,622
UT Southwestern Medical Center	175287501.2.2	7,316,378	3,829,781	3,829,781
		103,301,359	52,893,215	51,727,788

			(	Category 2 Only	
Project Option	Section/Option Title	Project ID	Original 4-Year Total	Original DY 2&3 Total	Revised DY 2&3 Total
2.10	Use of Palliative Care Programs				
	2.10.1 - Implement a Palliative Care Program to addres of-life decisions and care needs	ss patients with end-			
	Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.2.8	25,972,554	13,580,566	13,580,566
			25,972,554	13,580,566	13,580,566
2.11	Conduct Medication Management				
	2.11.2 Evidence-based interventions that put in place t and processes to avoid medication errors.	the teams, technology			
	UT Southwestern Medical Center	126686802.2.6	6,589,027	3,282,835	3,282,835
			6,589,027	3,282,835	3,282,835
	2.11.3 - Implement other evidence based project to de Medication Management in an innovative manner not	•			
	Baylor Medical Center at Garland	121790303.2.5	912,528	466,641	466,641
	Baylor Medical Center at Irving	121776204.2.5	908,313	464,485	464,485
	Baylor University Medical Center	139485012.2.5	4,081,362	2,087,091	2,087,091
			5,902,203	3,018,217	3,018,217
2.12	Implement/Expand Care Transitions Programs				
	2.12.1 - Develop, implement, and evaluate standardize and evidence-based care delivery model to improve ca	•			
	Doctor's Hospital at White Rock Lake	094194002.2.2	613,900	290,800	290,800
	Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.2.10	19,703,317	10,302,498	10,302,498
	Parkland Memorial Hospital	127295703.2.9	24,539,587	12,831,294	12,831,294
	UT Southwestern Medical Center	126686802.2.5	17,201,722	8,187,928	8,187,928
			62,058,526	31,612,520	31,612,520
	2.12.2 - Implement one or more pilot intervention(s) in targeting one or more patient care unit or a defined pa				
	UT Southwestern Medical Center	175287501.2.3	6,939,803	3,629,740	3,629,740
	Baylor Medical Center at Garland	121790303.2.4	1,029,021	526,212	526,212
	Baylor Medical Center at Irving	121776204.2.4	1,024,269	523,782	523,782
	Baylor University Medical Center	139485012.2.4	4,602,388	2,353,529	2,353,529
	Children's Medical Center	138910807.2.4	10,033,464	5,246,312	5,246,312
			23,628,945	12,279,575	12,279,575

				Category 2 Only	
roject	Section/Option Title	Project ID	Original	Original DY	Revised D
ption			4-Year Total	2&3 Total	2&3 Tota
2.13	Provide an Intervention for a Targeted Behavioral Specified Setting	Health Population to	o Prevent Unnec	essary Use of Se	rvices in a
	2.13.1 - Design, implement, and evaluate research-supp based interventions tailored towards individuals in the				
	Dallas County MHMR / Metrocare Services	137252607.2.2	1,291,504	589,151	589,1
	Dallas County MHMR / Metrocare Services Dallas County MHMR / Metrocare Services	137252607.2.2 137252607.2.3	1,291,504 2,702,056	589,151 1,254,176	,
				,	589,1 1,254,1 1,202,0
	Dallas County MHMR / Metrocare Services	137252607.2.3	2,702,056	1,254,176	1,254,1
	Dallas County MHMR / Metrocare Services Dallas County MHMR / Metrocare Services	137252607.2.3 137252607.2.4	2,702,056 2,838,600	1,254,176 1,202,058	1,254,1 1,202,0

#### 2.15 Integrate Primary and Behavioral Health Care Services

# 2.15.1 - Design, implement, and evaluate projects that provide integrated primary and behavioral health care services.

Dallas County MHMR / Metrocare Services	137252607.2.1	4,317,743	1,398,055	1,398,055
Denton County MHMR	135234606.2.2	5,238,202	2,567,080	2,567,080
HCA Medical City Dallas Hospital	020943901.2.1	3,901,150	1,994,936	1,994,936
		13,457,095	5,960,071	5,960,071

#### 2.19 Develop Care Management Function that Integrates Primary and Behavioral Health Needs of Individuals

# 2.19.1 - Design, implement, and evaluate care management programs and that integrate primary and behavioral health needs of individual patients

Baylor Medical Center at Garland	121790303.2.2	1,553,239	794,282	794,282
Baylor Medical Center at Irving	121776204.2.2	1,087,877	556,309	556,309
Baylor University Medical Center	139485012.2.2	7,497,150	3,833,828	3,833,828
		10,138,266	5,184,419	5,184,419

Total Category 2 74 P	rojects \$553,557,03	30 \$282,123,014	\$278,547,875
-----------------------	----------------------	------------------	---------------

### **APPENDIX G**

Sample Project Submission Package Forms

	New Three-Year Project Proposal
Performing Provider	
Project Title	
IGT Entity	
Project Contact	
Name	
Address	
Email Address	
Telephone	
	ge Contents (please check included items) w Three-Year Project Proposal (this form) - Required
Completed Ne	ew Three-Year Project Proposal (this form) - Required ree-Year Project Self-Evaluation Scoring Grid - Required tement of Commitment (Signed and Dated) – Required if the IGT Entity is different than th
Completed Ne Completed Th IGT Entity Sta	ew Three-Year Project Proposal (this form) - Required ree-Year Project Self-Evaluation Scoring Grid - Required tement of Commitment (Signed and Dated) – Required if the IGT Entity is different than th
Completed Ne Completed Th IGT Entity Sta	ew Three-Year Project Proposal (this form) - Required ree-Year Project Self-Evaluation Scoring Grid - Required tement of Commitment (Signed and Dated) – Required if the IGT Entity is different than th ovider
Completed Ne Completed Th IGT Entity Sta	w Three-Year Project Proposal (this form) - Required ree-Year Project Self-Evaluation Scoring Grid - Required tement of Commitment (Signed and Dated) – Required if the IGT Entity is different than the ovider Submission Deadline: 5:00 p.m. (CST) September 13, 2013
Completed Ne Completed Th IGT Entity Sta	ew Three-Year Project Proposal (this form) - Required ree-Year Project Self-Evaluation Scoring Grid - Required tement of Commitment (Signed and Dated) – Required if the IGT Entity is different than the ovider Submission Deadline: 5:00 p.m. (CST) September 13, 2013 Submit by email to:
Completed Ne Completed Th IGT Entity Sta	ew Three-Year Project Proposal (this form) - Required ree-Year Project Self-Evaluation Scoring Grid - Required tement of Commitment (Signed and Dated) – Required if the IGT Entity is different than the ovider Submission Deadline: 5:00 p.m. (CST) September 13, 2013 Submit by email to:
Completed Ne Completed Th IGT Entity Sta	ew Three-Year Project Proposal (this form) - Required ree-Year Project Self-Evaluation Scoring Grid - Required tement of Commitment (Signed and Dated) – Required if the IGT Entity is different than the ovider Submission Deadline: 5:00 p.m. (CST) September 13, 2013 Submit by email to:

#### **Key Project Elements**

Project Title			
	Option	Description	
Desire the time			

Project Option See Appendix E

See Appendix B

Category 3 Outcomes Measures:

Ex. \*1.1.1

Domain	Number	Description
Ex 100.41	Ex. "IT-1.1"	

#### Proposed Project Values (in Dollars):

See Appendix B for Reference to the PFM for Guidance	DY3	DY4	DY5	Total
Category 1 or 2	\$	s	\$	\$
Category 3	S	s	S	\$
Category 4, if applies	\$	s	s	\$
Total	\$	\$	s	\$

From the PFM	Reference Percentage Targets for Hospital Providers				
Category 1 or 2	≤ 80%	≤75%	≤ 57%		
Category 3	≥ 10%	≥ 15%	≥ 33%		
Category 4, If applies	10-15%	10-15%	10-15%		
	Reference Percentage Targets for Non-Hospital Providers				
Category 1 or 2	≤ 90%	≤ 90%	≤ 80%		
Category 3	≥ 10%	≥ 10%	≥ 20%		

#### Quantifiable Patient Impact:

See Appendix B for QPI Guidance Reference - Identify the project's quantifiable impact measured by either encounters or individuals for the Total Population and the Medicald/Indigent Population Served

Year / Impact Type	Total	Mcd /Ind.	Comments
DY 4 / Encounters			
DY 4 / Individuals			
Complete at Least One Line for Each Demonstration Year	Number	Number	
DY 5 / Encounters			
DY 5 / Individuals			
Complete at Least One Line for	Number	Number	•

Each Demonstration Year

#### Key Project Descriptive Elements

Proposed Project Description:

Three Year Transformational Impact of the Project – Specifically Highlight the Impact to the Medicaid and Low Income Population:

Impact of Project on Community Needs:

Relationship to and Alignment with other RHP 9 Projects:

Optional – Provide Any Appropriate Additional Information:

- 3 -

#### Self-Evaluation Scoring Grid

#### RHP 9 - Dalles, Denton and Kaufman Counties Three Year Project Self-Evaluation

Г

F

Provider Name:

Project Title:

Project Option Number and Description:

	Weight	Score (1-9)	Weighted Score
Transformational Impact	25%		0.00
Population Served / Project Size	25%		0.00
Alignment with Community Needs	20%		0.00
Cost Avoidance	10%		0.00
Sustainability	10%		0.00
Alignment with Other RHP 9 Projects	10%		0.00
	100%		0.00

#### Scoring Guidance:

Scoring Grid		General Scoring Frame	
High	9	Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses	
	8	Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses	
	7	Very strong with only minor weaknesses	
	6	Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses	
Medium	5	Strong but with at least one moderate weakness	
	4	Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses	
Low	3	Some strengths but with at least one major weakness	
	2	A few strengths and a few major weaknesses	
	1	Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses	

Minor Weekness: Easily addressable weakness, does not substantially lessen impact Moderate Weekness: Lessens impact

Major Weakness: Severely limits impact

#### Scoring Criteria Guidance

#### Transformation Impact:

Degree to which the project meets the Walver goal as outlined below. "ISGRP provides an unprecedented opportunity to improve patient care for low-income populations by incentiviting delivery system reforms that increase access to health care, improve the quality of care and enhance the health of patients and families they serve. These investments not only contribute to the Tripie Alm, but they can also health position safety net providers for the emerging healthcare market, in which data-based quality performance and cost-efficiency drive competition." BiP Flanning Protocol

#### Population Served / Project Size:

<u>Population Served</u>: Degree to which the project addresses the low-income, uninsured (or substantially under insured) population <u>Populations</u>: The proportionate size of the population that will be impacted by the project.

#### Alignment with Community Needs

Degree to which the project's impact will result in a significant improvement in a need identified in the Community Needs Assessment

#### Cost Avoidance

Degree to which the project will significantly impact the health care cost or health resource effectiveness for the region or for the specified targeted population within the region

#### Sustainability

The degree to which this project or the project impact will be sustained beyond the Waiver period.

#### **Partnership Collaboration**

Degree to which the project leverages and/or enhances other region DSRP projects - or - demonstrates collaboration among entities to accomplish a regional goal.

### **APPENDIX H**

### Contacts

**HHSC** is most efficiently contacted by email through the following email address: TXHealthcareTransformation@HHSC.state.tx.us

In their role as the anchoring entity, the following individuals at Parkland serve as RHP 9 points of contact:

- Ted Shaw, Interim Chief Financial Officer <u>ted.shaw@phhs.org</u>
- Jody Springer, SVP Planning jody.springer@phhs.org
- Keri Disney, Director Government Reimbursement <u>keri.disney@phhs.org</u>
- DeAnna Bokinsky, Director Business Development <u>deanna.bokinsky@phhs.org</u>

To make contact with representatives of exiting performing providers or IGT entities, please refer to the RHP 9 Plan for contact names and information.